| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 10/082,875 | MATSUNAGA, KATSUYA |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Laurel E LeFlore | 2673 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Laurel E LeFlore</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Jim Boice, Reg. No. 44,545</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 April 2005 | Time: <u>9:45 a.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative) No | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 15 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Applicant's representative contacted in order to author were approved and are as outlined in the examiner's a | ize changes to claims 1 and 15 with | |
| Part III. | | • |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (| Applicant/Applicant's Represent | ative Signature – if appropriate) |